UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,277	06/16/2006	Yoshiko Minakuchi	0020-5493PUS1	2615
	7590 03/13/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	NGUYEN, QUANG		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/583,277	MINAKUCHI ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	QUANG NGUYEN, Ph.D.	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-21</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-21</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<b>:</b> .					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-14 and 16-21 are pending in the present application, and they are subjected to the following restriction.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to a method of nucleic acid transfer having the recited steps (a) and (b) in independent claim 1.

Group II, claim(s) 14, 16-21, drawn to a nucleic acid transfer agent comprising a solid metal salt or a high concentration of a metal salt as an ingredient; and a kit comprising the same. It is noted that 21 is a use claim without any method step, and therefore it is treated as a composition claim.

The technical feature linking Groups I-II appears to be that they all relate to a solid metal salt or a high concentration solution of a metal salt.

However at the effective filing date of the present application at least EP 0779362 (IDS) disclosed a stock solution of 2M CaCl<sub>2</sub> from which an aliquot was used in a calcium phosphate transfection protocol (page 11, lines 31-33); and Graham et al (Virology 54:536-539, 1973; IDS) also taught a stock solution of 2.5M CaCl<sub>2</sub> that was used to mix with a viral DNA to a final concentration of 125 mM in a protocol for assaying infectious DNA (page 536, column 2, lines 1-14).

Page 3

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-III does not constitute a special technical feature a defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not differentiate the claimed subject matter a whole over the prior art. Since according to Rule 13.2 PCT the presence of such a common or corresponding special technical feature is an absolute prerequisite for unity to be established, and given that there does not appear to be any other technical feature common to the claimed subject matter as a whole which might be able to fulfill this role, the currently claimed subject matter lacks unity of invention according to Rule 13.1 PCT.

Consequently, the claimed subject matter is restricted into the above Groups of Inventions for the following reasons.

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be different if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the agent comprising a solid metal salt or a high-concentration solution of a metal salt in Group II can be used in a method for purifying a protein or for eluting a bound DNA to a carrier using a high concentration solution of a metal salt.

Because the currently claimed subject matter lacks unity according to Rule 13.1 PCT for the reasons set forth above, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1633

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (h).

## Species restriction

<u>Should Applicants elect Group I</u>, this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Page 6

(a) single stranded DNA; (b) double-stranded DNA; (c) single-stranded RNA; (d) double-stranded RNA; (e) ribozyme; (f) oligodeoxyribonucleotide; (f) oligoribonucleotide; (g) phosphorothioate oligodeoxyribonucleotide; (h) 2'-MOE-modified oligonucleotide; (i) siRNA; (j) LNA oligonucleotide; and (k) morpholino antisense olgionucleotide.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The claims are directed to a method of nucleic acid transfer comprising the steps

(a) and (b as recited in independent claim 1, wherein the nucleic acid encompasses anyone of the above listed species.

The following claim(s) are generic: at least claims 1 and 2.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Each of the above listed nucleic acid species is different structurally as well as different properties one from the others. Each different structure can be considered to be a "special technical feature" and therefore the above listed species lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang Nguyen, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0776.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's SPE, Joseph T. Woitach, Ph.D., may be reached at (571) 272-0739.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,277 Page 7

Art Unit: 1633

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1633; Central Fax No. (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

/QUANG NGUYEN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633